

- 2 -

REMARKS

A Request for Continued Examination is being submitted with this response.

Applicant has gone to some effort in stating the specific ways in which the disclosure of Mowry fails to disclose all of the claimed features. It is a denial of Applicant's basic rights for Examiner to refuse to provide an explanation for the ongoing rejection of these claims. In this respect, Examiner is directed to MPEP 707.07(f), where it is stated that "Where the applicant traverses any rejection, the examiner should, if he or she repeats the rejection, take note of the applicant's argument and answer the substance of it."

In the present case, substantial and highly relevant arguments have been provided by Applicant. Applicant submits that no comparison of the disclosure of Mowry with any reasonable interpretation of the present claim language could result in the conclusion reached by Examiner. If Examiner wishes to maintain such a rejection, it is imperative that the specific case being made by Examiner is set out so that Applicant is able to direct his reply to the issues Examiner believes are relevant. For this reason, Applicant requests that Examiner immediately provide a response to the detailed and highly relevant comments made in Applicant's previous submission.

In the event Examiner refuses, in spite of MPEP 707.07(f), to issue any reasons for his rejection of Applicant's detailed and highly relevant arguments in relation to Mowry, Applicant requests an urgent telephone interview with Examiner and his supervising Examiner to discuss this matter further. Applicant is located in Sydney, Australia, and so would be willing to contact Examiner at a mutually agreeable time. Unfortunately, the time difference between Washington and Sydney is presently 14 hours (Sydney ahead of Washington), so such a phone call would need to either be late afternoon (4.30pm in Washington DC is 6.30am in Sydney) or early morning (9.30am in Washington DC is 11.30pm in Sydney) Examiner's time.

In the event a telephone interview is required, Applicant hereby authorises his employee, Jeff Sweetman, to conduct telephone and e-mail correspondence on his behalf.

- 3 -

Jeff's e-mail address is jeff.sweetman@silverbrookresearch.com, which can be used either for setting a time for an interview, or for conducting more in-depth correspondence should Examiner wish to proceed in this way.

- 4 -

CONCLUSION

An urgent reply is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK



PAUL LAPSTUN

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9818 6711

RECEIVED
SEP - 3 2003
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

FAX RECEIVED

JUN 13 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800